

NLRB Updates

MEMBERSHIP DEVELOPMENT
CONFERENCE
CHICAGO, IL
AUGUST 27, 2025

SHERMAN DUNN, P.C.

Overview

The NLRB Board

- Current members
- Challenges to foundational structure of the NLRB
- Significant Decisions since MD 2024
- Using the Board with No Quorum
- NLRB Acting General Counsel Policy Memos

NLRB Overview



The Board

- Appellate court reviews ALJ and Hearing Officer decisions
- □ 5 seats 5-year terms
- Nominated by President, confirmed by Senate.
- Traditionally, the party holding the White House appoints the majority

The General Counsel

- Prosecutes unfair labor practices
- Oversees Regional offices
- Conducts representation election cases
- 4-year term
- Nominated by President, confirmed by Senate

NLRB Overview

The Board



Section 3(a) of the NLRA:

"Any member of the Board may be removed by the President, upon notice and hearing, for neglect of duty or malfeasance in office, but for no other cause."

Board designed to be an *independent* panel of experts.

The General Counsel

No removal protection under the NLRA.

The Biden Board as of November 2024



 Lauren McFarren – Democrat. Former Chair of NLRB. Was on the Board under Obama.



 Gwynne Wilcox - Democrat. Former union-side labor attorney with a law firm. Was deputy General Counsel of SEIU Local 1199. Reconfirmed in 2023 - Term expires Aug. 2028.



 David Prouty – Democrat. Former UNITE-HERE GC, former MLBPA GC, and most recently GC of SEIU Local 32-BJ. Term expires August 2026.

The Biden Board





 Marvin Kaplan – Republican. Current Chair of the Board. Term ends August 2025. Worked on Capitol Hill and OSHA.

The Trump Board



 Lauren McFarren – Democrat. Former Chair of NLRB. Was on the Board under Obama. Term expired Dec. 2024.



 Gwynne Wilcox - Democrat. Former union-side labor attorney with a law firm. Was deputy General Counsel of SEIU Local 1199. Reconfirmed in 2023 - Term expires Aug. 2028.



 David Prouty – Democrat. Former UNITE-HERE GC, former MLBPA GC, and most recently GC of SEIU Local 32-BJ. Term expires August 2026.

The Trump Board



 Lauren McFarren – Democrat. Former Chair of NLRB. Was on the Board under Obama. Term expired Dec. 2024.



 Gwynne Wilcox - Democrat. Former union-side labor attorney with a law firm. Was deputy General Counsel of SEIU Local 1199. Reconfirmed in 2023 - Term expires Aug. 2028. TRUMP FIRED



 David Prouty – Democrat. Former UNITE-HERE GC, former MLBPA GC, and most recently GC of SEIU Local 32-BJ. Term expires August 2026. Only Dem on the Board

The Trump Board





 Marvin Kaplan – Republican. Current Chair of the Board. Term ends August 2025. Worked on Capitol Hill and OSHA.





Jennifer Abruzzo

- Began work on July 22, 2021.
- Previously worked for the NLRB for over two decades
- Aggressive advocate for employee and union rights under the NLRA





Jennifer Abruzzo

Trump Fired





Acting General Counsel – Bill Cowan

Appointed Acting GC February 3, 2025

Long history with the Board – Recess appointment to the Board from January 2002 to November 2002; Regional Director of the Los Angeles Office since 2016

The NLRB Board Member Nominees



Scott Mayer – Nominated to Fill McFerran's Seat on the Board

Nominated July 17, 2025

Chief labor counsel for Boeing (the Boeing that opened facilities to South Carolina to avoid unions)

Not well known

The NLRB Board Member Nominees



James Murphy – Nominated to fill John Ring's Seat on the Board

Nominated July 17, 2025

Career NLRB employee

Worked as chief counsel for Republican Board members Kaplan, Johnson, Hayes, and Schaumber





Nominated for General Counsel – Crystal Carey

Nominated March 25, 2025.

Management-side lawyer at Morgan Lewis.

Morgan Lewis represents Amazon, SpaceX, Apple, and Tesla.

Morgan Lewis is pursuing the legal challenge that the NLRB is unconstitutional.

The NLRB General Counsel Nominee





Nominated for General Counsel – Crystal Carey

Confirmation hearing was July 16

Josh Hawley may vote against her nomination

Challenges to the NLRB's Structure



Firing of Gwynn Wilcox

- Gwynn Wilcox's term not scheduled to expire until August 2028.
- On January 27, 2025, Trump fired Wilcox.
- First time in NLRB's 90-year history that a President fired a sitting NLRB member.
- Trump did not claim that Wilcox was fired for "neglect of duty or malfeasance in office," but instead because she did not share the objectives of the President.
 - Trump claims the limitations in the NLRA on the ability to remove a member of the NLRB are unconstitutional.



- Trump's claim:
 - Unitary Executive Theory
 - Article II, Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution: "The executive Power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America."
 - Supreme Court has held that "the entire 'executive Power" belongs to the President alone
 - But



- Since 1935, the Supreme Court has held that it is Constitutional for an agency with a multi-member board to be *independent* -- President can only remove members for cause.
 - Examples:
 - NLRB
 - Federal Reserve
 - Federal Trade Commission
 - FERC
 - Nuclear Regulatory Commission
 - National Transportation Safety Board
 - Consumer Product Safety Commission
 - Federal Communications Commission
 - Federal Election Commission



- March 6, 2025: District Court rules for Wilcox and orders her to be reinstated immediately.
- March 7, 2025: Trump asks District Court to stay order pending appeal.
- March 8, 2025: District Court denies motion to stay order.
- March 28, 2025: 3-judge panel of Court of Appeals grants motion to stay order Wilcox leaves office.
- April 7, 2025: In a 7-4 decision, full D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals denies motion to stay Wilcox back in office.



- April 9, 2025 Trump appeals stay motion to Supreme Court.
- April 9, 2025 Justice Roberts grants administrative stay. Wilcox leaves again.
- May 16 D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals heard appeal of March 6 ruling.
- May 22 Supreme Court continues administrative stay
- Case is heading to U.S. Supreme Court on the merits.
 - Importance is far beyond the NLRB.



Other Constitutional Challenges to the NLRB

- SpaceX, Amazon, Starbucks, Trader Joe's, and others are actively attacking the NLRB. They argue:
 - NLRB is unconstitutional because the President does not have the authority to remove Board members and/or ALJs;
 - NLRB is unconstitutional because it penalizes charged parties without a jury trial in violation of the Seventh Amendment;
 - NLRB's structure violates the separation of powers because it exercises executive, legislative, and judicial functions.



Removal Protections of Board Members

 The NLRA's provisions on the Constitutionality of removal protections for **Board members** will reach the Supreme Court quickly in Wilcox's challenge to her removal.



ALJ Removal Protections

- Two federal district courts have ruled that the NLRA's provisions protecting ALJ's from removal are unconstitutional, but one district court has held those protections are constitutional.
- On August 19, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ruled in favor SpaceX and other employers that ALJ removal protections are unconstitutional – barred the NLRB from proceeding with a hearing before an ALJ in the cases.
- This issue is also likely to reach the Supreme Court.



Damage Claims and Right to Jury

- In Thryv, the NLRB approved remedies for ULPs that include "direct and foreseeable" damages.
- Employers claim such damages can only be awarded by a jury trial under the Seventh Amendment.
- Supreme Court rejected similar claim in 1937, but some lower courts are revisiting the question. May also reach the Supreme Court.



Separation of Powers

- A district court in Michigan has rejected the argument that the NLRB is unconstitutional because it exercises executive, legislative, and judicial functions.
- Weakest of the current challenges to the NLRB's structure.



Notable NLRB Decisions



Captive Audience Meetings

- Captive Audience Meetings are Unlawful Amazon.com Services LLC, 373
 NLRB No. 136 (Nov. 2024)
 - An employer violates Section 8(a)(1) when it compels employees to attend captive audience meetings.
 - Board explained that employees have a right under Section 7 to support, or not, a union. That
 right includes the right to choose who to listen to during an organizing campaign.
 - When an employer compels employees to attend, it restrains and coerces employees in their decision on whether to support the union or not.



Employer Statements During Organizing

What can an employer lawfully say to employees about the consequences of organizing on the relationship between the employer and individual workers?

Old Rule:

- Tri-Cast, Inc., 274 NLRB 377 (1985)
 - "[t]here is no threat, either explicit or implicit, in a statement which explains to employees that, when they select a union to represent them, the relationship that existed between the employees and the employer will not be as before "
 - Okay for employers to say:
 - Things will be more formal after union;
 - We'll have to run things "by the book"
 - Employer can no longer handle personal requests
 - Etc.

Biden Board:

- Siren Retail Corp., 372 N.L.R.B. No. 95 (June 13, 2024)
 - Overrules Tri-Cast.
 - Board will treat predictions concerning the consequences of unionization on the relationship between the employer and workers the same as any other statements concerning the consequences of organizing.
 - All employer predictions must be grounded in objective fact.



Using the NLRB with No Quorum



Representation Proceedings

Regional Offices Still Processing Representation Case Petitions

- File petition with showing of interest; Negotiate stipulated election agreement; Campaign; and Win!
- More important than ever to try to avoid going to a hearing on unit composition;
 - Hearing will happen on normal timeline, but due to staff shortages
 DDEs taking months to issue after the hearing.
 - If employer appeals substantive determination following election, Board cannot take action.



ULP Proceedings

Regional Offices Still Investigating ULPs

- Staffing shortages are causing delays in processing some cases
 - Slow to take affidavits and to issue complaints in some regions;
 - Slow to schedule a hearing once complaint issues.
- If receive favorable decision from ALJ and employer files exceptions with the Board, the Board cannot take action on the case and it will sit until there is a quorum.
- Will see an increase in employers seeking injunctions to stop proceedings at Regional level – have not seen in IBEW cases.



Acting General Counsel Memos



Seeking Remedial Relief in ULP Settlements

GC 25-05

- Returns discretion over certain issues to Regional Directors when approving settlement agreements;
- Eliminates requirement that settlement agreements include "default" language;
- Allows for settlement agreements to include non-admissions clauses;
- Allows RDs to approval unilateral settlements over charging party/union's objection;
- Allows RDs to approve settlements that provide for less than 100% of what the worker is owed by the employer.



Maximizing Deferral of ULP Charges

GC 25-10

- Due to "decreasing staffing levels and a steady case intake," Regional Offices are to refocus on deferring ULP charges to arbitration under the CBA whenever possible;
- Makes it more difficult to progress a ULP charge when the employer and union are parties to a CBA.
 - Only certain issues will not be deferred.



Questions?

MEMBERSHIP DEVELOPMENT
CONFERENCE
CHICAGO, IL
AUGUST 27, 2025

SHERMAN DUNN, P.C.